

A-01018 FIGS. 1A-6

FIG. 1A  
PRIOR ART




FIG. 1B  
PRIOR ART




FIG. 1C  
PRIOR ART






FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 3C




FIG. 4




FIG 5




FIG. 6

THICKNESS OF LAYER 101 (RUBBER) [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	TRANSFER TO PAPER [5 (GOOD) TO 1 (NO GOOD)]	IMAGE EXTENSION	DURABILITY	REMARKS
30	1	O	X	LAYER 101 WAS TOO THIN TO CLOSELY BOND IT TO LAYER
50	3	O	O	
100	4	$\Delta$	O	EXTENSION AND SHIFT OF IMAGE BECAME NOTICEABLE
200	5	$\Delta$	O	GOOD TRANSFER WAS ATTAINED EVEN TO ROUGH PAPER
600	5	$\Delta$	$\Delta$	CRACK WAS TO OCCUR, DEPENDING ON THE KIND OF COATING LAYER
1000	5	$\Delta$	$\Delta$	
2000	5	X	$\Delta$	THOUGH IMAGE EXTENSION WAS NOTICEABLE, IT COULD BE COPEd WITH BY IMAGE PROCESSING
3000	5	X	X	IMAGE EXTENSION AND DURABILITY OF SURFACE LAYER WERE CRITICAL